Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/026,7	736	SZARKA ET AL.		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		er	Art Unit		
	Daniel F	Stephenson	3672		
All Participants: Status f Application:					
(1) <u>Daniel P Stephenson</u> .	(3) _	(3)			
(2) <u>Loren G. Helmreich</u> .	(4) _	·			
Date of Interview: 6 May 2004	Time:	2:00			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's repre	esentative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:	•				
Claims discussed: 24,36 and 41-45					
Prior art documents discussed:	·				
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NAT	URE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examine	er will provide a writt the substance of the	e interview, since	he substance	
•					
			V.		
	275				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related to the applicant that the case was in condition for allowance except for some informalities that needed to be corrected. Specifically, claim 24 was still dependent from cancelled claim 17, claim 36 was dependent from cancelled claim 34, and new claims 41-45 were directed to a previously non-elected invention and needed to be cancelled. The examiner offered to do an examiners amendment to correct the claims and the applicant agreed to the changes. Specifically, claim 24 was changed to depend from claim 2, claim 36 was made independent, and claims 41-45 were cancelled..